

The logo for Global Legal Group (GLG) features the letters 'GLG' in a bold, sans-serif font. The 'G' and 'L' are white, while the 'G' is orange. The logo is positioned in the top left corner of the page, which has a background image of a herd of white horses running in a field, overlaid with a dark teal tint.

Global Legal Group

The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Securitisation 2011

A practical cross-border insight
into securitisation work

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

Arendt & Medernach
Ashurst LLP
Baker & McKenzie – CIS, Limited
Bizlink Lawyers & Consultants
Blake Dawson
BORENIUS / Švirinas & partners
Caspi & Co.
Cervantes Sainz
Chapman Tripp
Chiomenti Studio Legale
Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP
Dave and Girish and Co.
Debarliev, Dameski & Kelesoska attorneys at law
Delphi
Drew & Napier LLC
Estudio Beccar Varela
Eversheds
Fellner Wratzfeld & Partners
FenXun Partners
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP
Gárdos Füredi Mosonyi Tomori
J.D. Sellier + Co.
Kim & Chang
Latham & Watkins LLP
Liepa, Skopina/BORENIUS
Loyens & Loeff N.V.
Luiga Mody Hääl Boreníus
M. & P. Bernitsas Law Offices
Maric & Co
Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados
NautaDutilh
Nishimura & Asahi
Pachiu & Associates
Patton, Moreno & Asvat
Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd
Philip Law Firm
Roschier, Attorneys Ltd.
Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP
SNR Denton
Tonucci & Partners
Torys LLP
Uría Menéndez Abogados, S.L.P.
Weil, Gotshal & Manges

Canada

Michael Feldman



Jim Hong



Torys LLP

1 Receivables Contracts

1.1 Formalities. In order to create an enforceable debt obligation of the obligor to the seller, (a) is it necessary that the sales of goods or services are evidenced by a formal receivables contract; (b) are invoices alone sufficient; and (c) can a receivable “contract” be deemed to exist as a result of behaviour of the parties?

- (a) Certain consumer contracts or sales that are subject to sale of goods legislation (sales involving personal property other than receivables and money) must be in writing in order to be enforceable.
- (b) Invoices alone are sufficient to create a receivable, subject to the need to comply with consumer protection legislation where applicable.
- (c) A contract can be found to exist based on the behaviour of the parties and a written contract is not necessary to create a receivable, but would be helpful from an evidentiary perspective in case of dispute.

1.2 Consumer Protections. Do Canada’s laws (a) limit rates of interest on consumer credit, loans or other kinds of receivables; (b) provide a statutory right to interest on late payments; (c) permit consumers to cancel receivables for a specified period of time; or (d) provide other noteworthy rights to consumers with respect to receivables owing by them?

- (a) The *Criminal Code* (Canada) makes it a criminal offence, subject to criminal sanctions, to charge interest at a rate greater than 60% per annum. Interest is broadly defined to include fees and other amounts payable by the borrower to the lender and is determined on the basis of the actual annualised return realised by the lender, other than in cases of voluntary prepayments by the borrower. In commercial cases, courts have generally reduced the fees and other returns in excess of 60% per annum to fall within the *Criminal Code* limits, rather than striking down all interest and fees altogether if there is a violation, where the commercial agreement so provides.

The *Interest Act* (Canada) prohibits charging an increased rate of interest on arrears of principal or interest that is secured by a real property mortgage.

Certain provinces also have consumer protection legislation that applies to lending transactions giving courts the ability to reduce excessive cost of borrowing charges. Québec legislation provides that in such case the underlying contract may be terminated or the borrowing costs voided.

There is also case law in common law provinces to the effect

that a higher rate of interest after default may be an unenforceable penalty.

- (b) There is generally no statutory right to interest on late payments in the common law provinces. The ability to charge interest must be supported by a contract. In Québec, there may in certain circumstances be a statutory right to interest for late payments.
- (c) All provinces provide a cooling off period for direct sales contracts (contracts that are negotiated other than at the seller’s place of business). Certain provinces provide cooling off periods for various other types of consumer contracts as well.
- (d) There is a wide array of cost of borrowing laws in Canada. The failure to comply with cost of borrowing disclosure may lead to an inability to enforce the resulting receivable. In addition, class action laws have been liberalised in Canada in the past decade to make it easier for representative plaintiffs to assert claims on behalf of a class of affected consumers.

1.3 Government Receivables. Where the receivables contract has been entered into with the government or a government agency, are there different requirements and laws that apply to the sale or collection of those receivables?

Generally, receivables due from either the federal or a provincial government are not assignable unless certain procedural steps are taken under the *Financial Administration Act* (Canada) or analogous applicable provincial legislation.

2 Choice of Law - Receivables Contracts

2.1 No Law Specified. If the seller and the obligor do not specify a choice of law in their receivables contract, what are the main principles in Canada that will determine the governing law of the contract?

Canadian courts would apply principles of private international law in determining the law of the contract. Factors to be considered include the domicile, residence, nationality or jurisdiction of incorporation of the parties, the place where the contract was concluded and the place where delivery of goods or services is to be performed.

As a practical matter, foreign law must be proven by expert evidence in Canadian courts. Therefore, if an action on a contract without an express choice of law is brought in a Canadian court, and the court assumes jurisdiction over the matter due to a sufficient connection with the matter, it is likely that the court would be

willing to interpret the contract under the laws of the forum unless the issue was disputed and expert evidence of the foreign law was introduced.

2.2 Base Case. If the seller and the obligor are both resident in Canada, and the transactions giving rise to the receivables and the payment of the receivables take place in Canada, and the seller and the obligor choose the law of Canada to govern the receivables contract, is there any reason why a court in Canada would not give effect to their choice of law?

It should be noted that matters of contract law fall under provincial jurisdiction. Therefore, on the basis that this question can be read as relating to a particular province of Canada, the answer is that the court would give effect to a choice of the law of that province, subject to the qualifications listed under question 2.3.

2.3 Freedom to Choose Foreign Law of Non-Resident Seller or Obligor. If the seller is resident in Canada but the obligor is not, or if the obligor is resident in Canada but the seller is not, and the seller and the obligor choose the foreign law of the obligor/seller to govern their receivables contract, will a court in Canada give effect to the choice of foreign law? Are there any limitations to the recognition of foreign law (such as public policy or mandatory principles of law) that would typically apply in commercial relationships such that between the seller and the obligor under the receivables contract?

A court would recognise the choice of foreign law in an agreement provided that the parties' choice of foreign law was *bona fide* and there was no reason for avoiding the choice on the grounds of public policy. Notwithstanding the parties' choice of law, a court:

- will not take judicial notice of the provisions of the foreign law but will apply such provisions only if they are pleaded and proven by expert testimony;
- will apply the law of the forum that would be characterised as procedural;
- will apply provisions of the law of the forum that have overriding effect (for example, certain enforcement provisions of the *Personal Property Security Act* (PPSA) in the common law jurisdictions would take priority over inconsistent remedy provisions in a security agreement governed by a foreign law) or, in Québec, that are applicable by reason of their particular object;
- will not apply any foreign law if such application would be characterised as a direct or indirect enforcement of a foreign revenue, expropriatory or penal law or if its application would be contrary to public policy of the forum; and
- will not enforce the performance of any obligation that is illegal under the laws of any jurisdiction in which the obligation is to be performed.

2.4 CISG. Is the United Nations Convention on the International Sale of Goods in effect in Canada?

Yes, it is.

3 Choice of Law - Receivables Purchase Agreement

3.1 Base Case. Does Canada's law generally require the sale of receivables to be governed by the same law as the law governing the receivables themselves? If so, does that general rule apply irrespective of which law governs the receivables (i.e., Canada's laws or foreign laws)? Are there any exceptions to this rule that would apply to receivables sale transactions?

No. The parties to the receivables purchase agreement would be free to choose a different law than that governing the receivables themselves. See question 2.3.

3.2 Freedom to Choose Other Law. If (a) the receivables are governed by one country's laws (whether Canada's laws or foreign laws), (b) the seller sells the receivables to a purchaser located in a third country, and (c) the seller and the purchaser choose the law of the purchaser's country to govern the receivables purchase agreement, will a court in Canada give effect to their choice of foreign law? Are there any exceptions to this rule that would apply to receivables sale transactions?

Yes. See question 2.3.

3.3 Freedom to Choose Home Country Law. Conversely, if (a) another country's law governs the receivables (e.g., a foreign obligor's country), and (b) the seller and purchaser are resident in Canada, will a court in Canada permit the seller and purchaser to choose the law of Canada to govern the receivables sale? Will a court in Canada permit the seller and purchaser to choose the law of Canada to govern the receivables sale if only one of the seller or the purchaser are resident in Canada? Are there any exceptions to this rule that would apply to receivables sale transactions?

Yes, a court in Canada would permit the choice of the law of a province of Canada. See question 2.3. However, in this circumstance, one would need to be concerned that the home country law of the obligor would also recognise this choice of law since it is likely that any enforcement proceedings against the obligor would have to occur in that jurisdiction.

3.4 Recognition of Foreign Law Sales. If (a) both the receivables contract and the receivables purchase agreement are governed by the same foreign law, and (b) the requirements for a true sale have been fully met under that foreign law, will a court in Canada recognise that sale as being effective against the seller, the obligors and other third parties (such as creditors or insolvency administrators of the seller and the obligors) without the need to comply with Canada's own sale requirements? Are there any exceptions to this rule?

It would be recognised so long as the seller remains solvent, subject to the qualifications referred to in question 2.3. As a practical matter, in securitisations involving a Canadian seller, a true sale legal opinion is usually required and Canadian counsel would not be able to opine on the enforceability or the effect of a receivables purchase agreement governed by a foreign law. Also, in a bankruptcy proceeding in a Canadian court affecting the seller, it is possible that the court might recharacterise a sale under a foreign law as constituting a secured loan under applicable Canadian law if

the receivables purchase agreement would not also constitute a sale under applicable Canadian law.

4 Asset Sales

4.1 Sale Methods Generally. In Canada what are the customary methods for a seller to sell receivables to a purchaser?

Typically, the sale would be effected pursuant to a receivables purchase agreement. The terms of the receivables purchase agreement would depend upon whether the commercial arrangement is a factoring (financing), a whole loan sale (where the seller retains no residual interest in the receivables sold) or a version typically used in a securitisation (where the seller is entitled to a deferred purchase price reflecting a residual interest in the receivables).

4.2 Perfection Generally. What formalities are required generally for perfecting (i.e., making enforceable against other creditors of the seller) a sale of receivables? Are there any additional or other formalities required for the sale of receivables to be perfected against any subsequent good faith purchasers for value of the same receivables from the seller?

In each of the common law provinces (all provinces other than Québec), perfection is governed by that province's PPSA. Under the PPSA, an absolute transfer of receivables is deemed to be a security interest. In order for the transferee to take priority in those receivables as against third parties (such as subsequent good faith purchasers for value), the deemed security interest must be perfected, usually by registering a financing statement in the PPSA registry in the province where the assignor is located for purposes of the PPSA.

In Québec, an assignment of receivables could be perfected by registration only if the receivables transferred constitute a "universality of claims". If the receivables do not constitute a universality of claims, the assignment may be perfected with respect to Québec obligors only by means of actual notice of the assignment to such obligors. There is considerable uncertainty about what constitutes a universality, but it is generally accepted that a sale of all receivables of a particular type generated by the seller between two specified dates would constitute a universality of claims. It should be noted that the creation of a universality in this way prevents the random selection of Québec receivables for inclusion in a segregated pool of Canadian receivables; rather, the Québec receivables would normally be selected so as to constitute a universality of claims generated between two specified dates.

4.3 Perfection for Promissory Notes, etc. What additional or different requirements for sale and perfection apply to sales of promissory notes, mortgage loans, consumer loans or marketable debt securities?

A transfer of promissory notes is governed by the *Bills of Exchange Act* (Canada) which deals with the rights of holders in due course of a bill, note or cheque. While perfection of an assignment of promissory notes is still governed by applicable provincial PPSAs (that is, in order to perfect the assignment as against third parties either registration or possession is required), most PPSAs expressly provide that the rights of holders in due course are not affected by provincial PPSAs. As a practical matter, in order to ensure that the purchaser of a promissory note has priority over other claimants (to

ensure no one else can become a holder in due course), it will be necessary for the purchaser, or a custodian acting for the purchaser, to take and maintain possession.

Most provinces of Canada have enacted *Securities Transfers Acts* (STAs) that deal comprehensively with the transfer and holding of securities and interests in securities. This legislation is modelled after article 8 of the US Uniform Commercial Code.

In each of the common law provinces, an assignment of interests in real property (such as mortgages) is perfected by registering the assignment in the applicable land titles or land registry office. Usually, sellers anticipating the sale of mortgages by securitisation will arrange for their mortgages to be originated in the name of a licensed trust company as nominee, bare trustee and custodian for the benefit of the beneficial owner in order to obviate the need to reassign the mortgages for securitisation. When mortgages are not registered in the name of a custodian or nominee, registration of assignments is typically not made at the closing of the securitisation transaction; instead, assignors will deliver a power of attorney in registrable form, which may be used by the transferee to register mortgage assignments at a later date. Since these powers of attorney are coupled with an interest in the related mortgages, such powers of attorney would survive the bankruptcy of the grantor of the power (the assignor).

In Québec, claims under a mortgage (loan secured by immovable hypothec) constitute personal (movable) property and perfection is obtained in the same manner as for other receivables: that is, by registration at the personal property security register (and not the land registry office) in the case of the universality of claims, or else by providing evidence of the assignment to the obligor.

There are no statutory provisions providing that an assignment of consumer loans be treated differently than other loans.

4.4 Obligor Notification or Consent. Must the seller or the purchaser notify obligors of the sale of receivables in order for the sale to be effective against the obligors and/or creditors of the seller? Must the seller or the purchaser obtain the obligors' consent to the sale of receivables in order for the sale to be an effective sale against the obligors? Does the answer to this question vary if (a) the receivables contract does not prohibit assignment but does not expressly permit assignment; or (b) the receivables contract expressly prohibits assignment? Are there any limitations regarding the purchaser notifying the obligor of the sale of receivables even after the insolvency of the seller or the obligor?

In order for an assignment of a receivable to be effective against the obligor, the obligor must receive notice of the assignment. Until the obligor receives notice, it may discharge its obligations by making payment to the seller and also retains the benefit of all defences that may be asserted against the seller.

In order for an assignment to be effective against the seller and its creditors, it is generally not necessary to notify obligors so long as the assignment is perfected by registration. The only exception is for obligors residing in Québec, where the assignment does not constitute a universality (see question 4.2).

A receivable that arises pursuant to a contract that does not expressly prohibit assignment is an assignable receivable (except where the obligor is the federal or a provincial government). A receivable from a government obligor is not assignable unless specified procedures are followed under the *Financial Administration Act* (Canada) or applicable analogous provincial legislation.

Contractual restrictions on the assignment of receivables are not

binding on third party assignees; hence an assignment of “non-assignable” receivables may be perfected (subject to the rights of an unnotified obligor discussed in question 4.5); however, an assignment of an undivided interest in a receivable (rather than the entire receivable) would remain subject to contractual restrictions.

Obligors may be notified of the assignment of their receivables at any time; however, if the seller files for protection under the *Companies’ Creditors Arrangement Act* (Canada) (CCAA) or the *Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act* (Canada) (BIA), a judicial stay of proceedings would likely prohibit the purchaser from notifying obligors of the assignment of their receivables without first obtaining a court order permitting such notice to be given.

4.5 Restrictions on Assignment; Liability to Obligor. Are restrictions in receivables contracts prohibiting sale or assignment generally enforceable in Canada? Are there exceptions to this rule (e.g., for contracts between commercial entities)? If Canada recognises prohibitions on sale or assignment and the seller nevertheless sells receivables to the purchaser, will either the seller or the purchaser be liable to the obligor for breach of contract or on any other basis?

An assignment of a non-assignable receivable (as opposed to an assignment of an undivided interest in the receivable) is binding as between the seller and purchaser; however, the obligor thereunder will be entitled to fully discharge its obligations by making payment to the seller, and therefore such an assignment would still be subject to seller insolvency risk. The obligor could maintain a cause of action against the purchaser for the tort of inducing breach of contract.

4.6 Identification. Must the sale document specifically identify each of the receivables to be sold? If so, what specific information is required (e.g., obligor name, invoice number, invoice date, payment date, etc.)? Do the receivables being sold have to share objective characteristics? Alternatively, if the seller sells all of its receivables to the purchaser, is this sufficient identification of receivables?

It is not necessary for the sale document to identify each specific receivable; however, it must contain a description of the receivables being sold sufficient to allow them to be identified as belonging to the class of receivables sold. This may be satisfied by a sale of all of a seller’s receivables.

If a sale is of less than all of the receivables of a particular type, then the existence of shared objective characteristics that would permit identification of receivables as either being sold or not sold would affect the characterisation of such receivables as a universality in Québec. See question 4.2.

4.7 Respect for Intent of Parties; Economic Effects on Sale. If the parties denominate their transaction as a sale and state their intent that it be a sale will this automatically be respected or will a court enquire into the economic characteristics of the transaction? If the latter, what economic characteristics of a sale, if any, might prevent the sale from being perfected? Among other things, to what extent may the seller retain (a) credit risk; (b) interest rate risk; and/or (c) control of collections of receivables without jeopardising perfection?

There is a risk of a court recharacterising a sale of receivables as a secured loan. True sale legal opinions are typically delivered in

Canadian securitisation transactions. The most important factor in determining whether there has been a true sale is the intention of the parties, as evidenced by the documents, communications and conduct of the parties. The most important indication of the intention that an arrangement is a secured loan is the existence of a right of the seller to require that the receivables sold be reassigned to it.

According to the only reported judicial decision in Canada that considered the issue of the recharacterisation of a sale in a securitisation context, the court listed the following factors, in addition to the intention of the parties, to be considered in determining whether a transaction constitutes a true sale:

- (a) the transfer of ownership risk and the level of recourse;
- (b) the ability to identify the assets sold;
- (c) the ability to calculate the purchase price;
- (d) whether the return to the purchaser will be more than its initial investment and a calculated yield on such investment;
- (e) the right of the seller to retain surplus collections;
- (f) a right of redemption by the seller;
- (g) the responsibilities for collection of the receivables; and
- (h) the ability of the seller to extinguish the purchaser’s rights from sources other than the collection of the receivables.

Of these factors, it is likely that the only one that is determinative of the issue by itself is the presence of a right of redemption. In determining whether there is a right of redemption, the court merely looked to whether there was a contractual right of the seller to repurchase or redeem the purchased receivables and did not infer that there was one on the basis of an economic analysis of the transaction.

4.8 Continuous Sales of Receivables. Can the seller agree in an enforceable manner (at least prior to its insolvency) to continuous sales of receivables (i.e., sales of receivables as and when they arise)?

Yes, they can.

4.9 Future Receivables. Can the seller commit in an enforceable manner to sell receivables to the purchaser that come into existence after the date of the receivables purchase agreement (e.g., “future flow” securitisation)? In that regard, is there a distinction between receivables that arise prior to or after the seller’s insolvency?

Yes. However, the sale only occurs when the receivables come into existence.

When receivables arise after the seller’s insolvency, the seller or its Insolvency Official may treat the sale of future receivables as an executory contract and disclaim such contract. Also see question 6.5.

4.10 Related Security. Must any additional formalities be fulfilled in order for the related security to be transferred concurrently with the sale of receivables? If not all related security can be enforceably transferred, what methods are customarily adopted to provide the purchaser the benefits of such related security?

Security interests securing the receivables transferred to the purchaser are assigned together with the receivables. Under the PPSA, the registration of an assignment of a security interest by the secured party is optional; such registration is not necessary in order to maintain perfection of the original security interest. Since the

originator is also normally appointed as the servicer of the receivables, it is rare to effect these registrations at the time of a securitisation. However, if a replacement servicer is appointed, such registrations would be effected by or on behalf of the purchaser at such time. Under the *Québec Civil Code*, the need to register an assignment of a security interest or other rights depends on the type of security interest or other rights involved.

5 Security Issues

5.1 Back-up Security. Is it customary in Canada to take a “back-up” security interest over the seller’s ownership interest in the receivables and the related security, in the event that the sale is deemed by a court not to have been perfected?

No. That may be interpreted as contrary to the intent of the parties to treat the transaction as a sale. In any event, so long as the assignment is perfected as an assignment, if it is recharacterised by a court as a secured financing the perfected assignment will also constitute perfection of the security interest in common law provinces.

Under Québec law, the likelihood of recharacterisation is low as the assignment of claims as security is no longer recognised. If the transaction is recharacterised, the sale would likely not constitute a movable hypothec without delivery.

5.2 Seller Security. If so, what are the formalities for the seller granting a security interest in receivables and related security under the laws of Canada, and for such security interest to be perfected?

This is not applicable in common law provinces.

To the extent that Québec laws apply to the validity and perfection of such security, appropriate charging language and a charging amount in Canadian dollars would need to be included in the documentation so as to constitute a hypothec. A registration of the hypothec would also be necessary.

5.3 Purchaser Security. What are the formalities for the purchaser granting a security interest in receivables and related security under the laws of Canada, and for such security interest to be perfected?

If the purchaser finances its purchase of receivables by borrowing on the security of the purchased receivables, it would grant security over such receivables by entering into a security agreement in favour of the lender. A security interest in receivables would attach when:

- (a) value is given by the lender;
- (b) the debtor has rights in the receivables or the power to transfer rights in the receivables to the lender; and
- (c) the debtor has signed a security agreement that contains a description of the receivables sufficient to enable them to be identified.

Where the purchaser funds the purchase of receivables by issuing notes, it would ordinarily enter into a trust indenture with an indenture trustee acting for the noteholders and other secured creditors. The trust indenture would include the granting of a security interest over the receivables.

In each of the above two cases, perfection would be achieved by registration under the applicable PPSA in common law provinces.

To the extent that Québec law applies, it would also be necessary for the purchaser to enter into a hypothec.

5.4 Recognition. If the purchaser grants a security interest in the receivables under the laws of the purchaser’s country or a third country, and that security interest is valid and perfected under the laws of that other country, will it be treated as valid and perfected in Canada or must additional steps be taken in Canada?

It will be recognised. Where the purchaser is located (or domiciled under Québec law) outside Canada, no additional steps are required. If the purchaser is located in a Canadian province, it would be necessary to perfect the security interest by registration. If the purchaser is domiciled in Québec, a hypothec will be required.

5.5 Additional Formalities. What additional or different requirements apply to security interests in or connected to promissory notes, mortgage loans, consumer loans or marketable debt securities?

Refer to question 4.3.

5.6 Trusts. Does Canada recognise trusts? If not, is there a mechanism whereby collections received by the seller in respect of sold receivables can be held or be deemed to be held separate and apart from the seller’s own assets until turned over to the purchaser?

Trusts are recognised in common law provinces, not Québec. However, a trust cannot “deem” collections to be held separate and apart from the seller’s own assets if in fact they are commingled with the seller’s assets such that they may not be separately identified.

In Québec, a similar result would be achieved by appointing the seller as agent (mandatory) of the purchaser.

5.7 Bank Accounts. Does Canada recognise escrow accounts? Can security be taken over a bank account located in Canada? If so, what is the typical method? Would courts in Canada recognise a foreign-law grant of security (for example, an English law debenture) taken over a bank account located in Canada?

Security can be granted over escrow accounts. The means for taking security depends upon the type of account. For simple bank accounts, perfection can be achieved by registration under the applicable PPSA or by obtaining a hypothec over the claim resulting from such bank account if the holder is domiciled in Québec. For securities accounts, it is necessary to take control over these accounts under the applicable STA in those provinces that have enacted STAs.

Courts in Canada would recognise a foreign law grant of security subject to provincial private international law rules governing the validity of security interests; however, procedural aspects of enforcing security would be governed by the law of the province where the account was located.

6 Insolvency Laws

6.1 Stay of Action. *If, after a sale of receivables that is otherwise perfected, the seller becomes subject to an insolvency proceeding, will Canada's insolvency laws automatically prohibit the purchaser from collecting, transferring or otherwise exercising ownership rights over the purchased receivables ("automatic stay")? Does the insolvency official have the ability to stay collection and enforcement actions until he determines that the sale is perfected? Would the answer be different if the purchaser is deemed to only be a secured party rather than the owner of the receivables?*

Under the restructuring provisions of the BIA, a stay of proceedings is automatic for a period of 30 days and may be renewed by court order for further 30-day periods (up to a maximum period of six months). Under the CCAA, an application to restructure normally includes an application for a stay order of unlimited duration which is normally granted by the court.

If there has been a true sale of receivables and the seller has been replaced as servicer by a replacement servicer and all obligors have been notified of the assignment prior to the filing under an insolvency proceeding, the stay would not affect the collection of such receivables. However, the stay could prevent a replacement servicer from being appointed or obligors from being notified until a court determines that the transaction constituted a sale of receivables rather than a secured financing.

6.2 Insolvency Official's Powers. *If there is no automatic stay, under what circumstances, if any, does the insolvency official have the power to prohibit the purchaser's exercise of rights (by means of injunction, stay order or other action)?*

Although a stay order under the CCAA is not automatic, it is almost always included as part of the application by the debtor company to initiate restructuring proceedings under that Act.

6.3 Suspect Period (Clawback). *Under what facts or circumstances could the insolvency official rescind or reverse transactions that took place during a "suspect" or "preference" period before the commencement of the insolvency proceeding? What are the lengths of the "suspect" or "preference" periods in Canada for (a) transactions between unrelated parties and (b) transactions between related parties?*

Numerous statutes may be relevant in connection with the insolvency of the seller (collectively, Insolvency Statutes), including the BIA, the CCAA, the *Winding-up and Restructuring Act* (Canada) and various provincial fraudulent preference and fraudulent conveyance statutes. Under the Insolvency Statutes, certain transactions by an originator may be overridden or set aside in certain circumstances, including the following:

- a transfer of property made with the intention of defeating or defrauding creditors or others of their claims against the seller;
- a transaction that is entered into by an insolvent seller (or a seller that knows that it is on the verge of insolvency):
 - with the intent to defeat or prejudice its creditors;
 - with a creditor with the intent to give that creditor preference over the other creditors of the seller; or
 - with a creditor and that has the effect of giving that creditor a preference over other creditors of the seller;

- a gratuitous conveyance made within three months immediately preceding the commencement of a winding-up proceeding;
- a contract whereby creditors are injured or delayed made by a seller unable to meet its engagements with a person who knows of that inability or who has probable cause for believing that such inability exists;
- a conveyance for consideration whereby creditors are injured or obstructed, made by a seller unable to meet its engagements with a person ignorant of that inability and before that inability has become public, but within 30 days before the commencement of a winding-up proceeding; and
- a sale, deposit, pledge or transfer of any property by a seller in contemplation of insolvency by way of security for payment to any creditor whereby that creditor obtains or will obtain an unjust preference over other creditors.

The time periods noted above relate to third party dealings; such review periods are extended if the seller and purchaser are related parties. In addition, under the transfers at undervalue provisions of the BIA and CCAA, a court may review a disposition of property for which the consideration received by the seller is conspicuously less than the fair market value of the receivables sold by the seller who becomes an insolvent person or bankrupt.

Bulk sales legislation applies in certain provinces if there is a sale of tangible assets (such as leased autos or equipment) out of the ordinary course of business. Failure to comply with applicable bulk sales legislation could make the purchaser responsible for losses suffered by the creditors of the originator (up to the value of the transferred assets).

6.4 Substantive Consolidation. *Under what facts or circumstances, if any, could the insolvency official consolidate the assets and liabilities of the purchaser with those of the seller or its affiliates in the insolvency proceeding?*

There are no express provisions for substantive consolidation under the Insolvency Statutes. Instead, the jurisdiction to order substantive consolidation rests under the general equitable jurisdiction of the court in insolvency proceedings. There are only a small number of Canadian court decisions with reasons for judgment dealing with substantive consolidation. Canadian courts have generally adopted the "balancing of prejudice" test from U.S. court decisions, whereby the court asks whether the creditors of the insolvent person will suffer greater prejudice in the absence of consolidation than the debtor (and any objecting creditors) will suffer from its imposition. Factors commonly referred to in determining the balancing of interests include the following:

- difficulty in segregating assets;
- presence of consolidated financial statements;
- profitability of consolidation at a single location;
- commingling of assets and business functions;
- unity of interests in ownerships;
- existence of inter-corporate loan guarantees; and
- transfer of assets without observance of corporate formalities.

Since substantive consolidation is an equitable remedy, the risk that it could be applied cannot be eliminated; however, to reduce the risk of substantive consolidation, a number of steps can be taken, including the following:

- (a) the special purpose purchaser can be established as an "orphan" trust legally under the control of an arm's-length trustee, with no beneficiary having a right to terminate the trust;

- (b) if an intermediate special purpose entity that is wholly owned by the seller (to which the receivables would be sold before being sold again to the purchaser) is used, it can be required to have an independent director who would be required to approve any fundamental change (such as amalgamation, winding-up or sale of substantial assets of the intermediate special purpose entity); and
- (c) the intermediate special purpose entity or the special purpose purchaser should be operationally separate from the seller through the following means:
- it can have its own bank accounts to pay its liabilities;
 - it can have its own financial statements prepared;
 - its liabilities should not be guaranteed by the seller; and
 - it should hold itself out to third parties as a separate entity distinct from the seller.

6.5 Effect of Proceedings on Future Receivables. What is the effect of the initiation of insolvency proceedings on (a) sales of receivables that have not yet occurred or (b) on sales of receivables that have not yet come into existence?

Both would be executory contracts that could be disclaimed by a Insolvency Official. Also, during a stay of proceedings under the CCAA or BIA, it is possible that the purchaser's right to enforce the sale agreement will be stayed unless leave of the court is obtained to enforce its rights under the sale agreement.

7 Special Rules

7.1 Securitisation Law. Is there a special securitisation law (and/or special provisions in other laws) in Canada establishing a legal framework for securitisation transactions? If so, what are the basics?

There is no such law in Canada.

7.2 Securitisation Entities. Does Canada have laws specifically providing for establishment of special purpose entities for securitisation? If so, what does the law provide as to: (a) requirements for establishment and management of such an entity; (b) legal attributes and benefits of the entity; and (c) any specific requirements as to the status of directors or shareholders?

Canada has no law specifically providing for securitisation special purpose entities. In Canada, the special purpose entity used to issue notes is typically a common law trust.

7.3 Non-Recourse Clause. Will a court in Canada give effect to a contractual provision (even if the contract's governing law is the law of another country) limiting the recourse of parties to available funds?

A properly drafted non-recourse clause will be enforceable, even if it is governed by a foreign law. See question 2.3.

7.4 Non-Petition Clause. Will a court in Canada give effect to a contractual provision (even if the contract's governing law is the law of another country) prohibiting the parties from: (a) taking legal action against the purchaser or another person; or (b) commencing an insolvency proceeding against the purchaser or another person?

Such a clause is likely not enforceable as being contrary to public policy.

7.5 Independent Director. Will a court in Canada give effect to a contractual provision (even if the contract's governing law is the law of another country) or a provision in a party's organisational documents prohibiting the directors from taking specified actions (including commencing an insolvency proceeding) without the affirmative vote of an independent director?

An action taken by a corporation without the approval of an independent director in contravention of a contractual restriction not to do so would nevertheless be a valid corporate act so long as it was done within the constraints of the corporation's constating documents. The remedy of the contract counterparty would be an action for breach of contract.

A requirement in a corporation's constating documents, including in a unanimous shareholders' agreement, to the effect that the corporation could not institute certain actions without an independent director's approval should be effective to preclude such action from being valuably taken without such approval.

8 Regulatory Issues

8.1 Required Authorisations, etc. Assuming that the purchaser does no other business in Canada, will its purchase and ownership or its collection and enforcement of receivables result in its being required to qualify to do business or to obtain any licence or its being subject to regulation as a financial institution in Canada? Does the answer to the preceding question change if the purchaser does business with other sellers in Canada?

Assuming that the purchaser is not a foreign bank, merely owing receivables does not in and of itself require registration. Servicing receivables through an agent similarly does not require registration. However, if the activities amount to carrying on a business, registration under extra-provincial registration statutes would be required in order to maintain an action on any of the receivables. The greater the number of sellers that a purchaser deals with from a particular province, the higher the probability that the purchaser's activities would constitute carrying on a business.

In order to avoid becoming subject to regulation in Canada, it would be advisable for the purchaser to limit its connections to Canada by ensuring, as much as possible, that the following occur:

- (i) the decision to purchase the receivables is made outside Canada;
- (ii) all negotiations relating to the purchase of the receivables are either conducted outside Canada or conducted by telephone communications during which all of the officers and employers of the purchaser participating in the communications are outside Canada;
- (iii) the funding for the purchase of receivables occurs outside Canada; and
- (iv) the purchaser executes and delivers its documentation relating to the purchase outside Canada.

Provided that the purchaser is not carrying on business in Canada, no licensing would be required nor would the purchaser become subject to regulation as a financial institution.

8.2 Servicing. Does the seller require any licences, etc., in order to continue to enforce and collect receivables following their sale to the purchaser, including to appear before a court? Does a third party replacement servicer require any licences, etc., in order to enforce and collect sold receivables?

The need for the seller to be licensed would depend upon the nature of the sale and the nature of the receivables.

Collection by a seller of receivables on behalf of a purchaser would not require additional licensing so long as the obligors are not notified of the assignment. If obligors are notified of the assignment and the seller continues to collect receivables on behalf of the purchaser, certain provinces have collection agency statutes that could apply to require the seller to become licensed as a collection agent. A third party replacement servicer could require a licence under applicable collection agency statutes unless it was exempt from the application of such statutes (as are most financial institutions).

The collection of certain types of receivables, such as mortgages, may require special licensing under mortgage broker legislation of certain provinces.

8.3 Data Protection. Does Canada have laws restricting the use or dissemination of data about or provided by obligors? If so, do these laws apply only to consumer obligors or also to enterprises?

Yes. The *Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act* (PIPEDA) is federal legislation that governs the collection, use and disclosure of personal information of individuals. Certain provinces have also implemented privacy legislation. PIPEDA and provincial privacy legislation apply only to individuals, not to commercial enterprises.

8.4 Consumer Protection. If the obligors are consumers, will the purchaser (including a bank acting as purchaser) be required to comply with any consumer protection law of Canada? Briefly, what is required?

Most consumer protection laws would apply at or near the time that the receivable is originated. These include cost of borrowing disclosure laws, false advertising laws and certain laws regulating motor vehicle dealers. To the extent these laws were not observed by the seller, this could provide the obligors with defences against the purchasers. To the extent that there are consumer protection laws that apply following origination, such as privacy laws, the purchaser, including a bank, would be required to comply. In Québec, the assignee of a consumer receivable will be jointly and severally liable with the assignor for the assignor's obligations toward the consumer (subject to certain statutory monetary limitations).

8.5 Currency Restrictions. Does Canada have laws restricting the exchange of Canada's currency for other currencies or the making of payments in Canada's currency to persons outside the country?

No, it does not.

9 Taxation

9.1 Withholding Taxes. Will any part of payments on receivables by the obligors to the seller or the purchaser be subject to withholding taxes in Canada? Does the answer depend on the nature of the receivables, whether they bear interest, their term to maturity, or where the seller or the purchaser is located?

Canada has now fully eliminated withholding tax on interest paid to arm's-length lenders, other than participating debt interest. Therefore, Canadian receivables, other than those that produce lease or royalty payments and dividends, sold to a non-Canadian purchaser will not be subject to Canadian withholding tax. However, due to concerns about a non-Canadian purchaser becoming subject to Canadian tax by virtue of carrying on business in Canada through the servicing of the Canadian receivables, it is more common for an intermediate Canadian special purpose entity to be established to purchase the Canadian receivables and for that special purpose entity to then issue an interest-bearing note to a non-Canadian investor.

Withholding tax of 25% is generally exigible on most cross-border lease, royalty and dividend payments, subject to reduction through bilateral tax treaties.

9.2 Seller Tax Accounting. Does Canada require that a specific accounting policy is adopted for tax purposes by the seller or purchaser in the context of a securitisation?

Canadian taxpayers must calculate their income for Canadian tax purposes in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (although there are a number of specific policies that permit tax treatment to be different than accounting treatment). All Canadian public companies are required to adopt International Financial Reporting Standards for fiscal years commencing on or after January 1, 2011.

9.3 Stamp Duty, etc. Does Canada impose stamp duty or other documentary taxes on sales of receivables?

No, it does not.

9.4 Value Added Taxes. Does Canada impose value added tax, sales tax or other similar taxes on sales of goods or services, on sales of receivables or on fees for collection agent services?

The federal government imposes a goods and services tax (GST) and some provinces impose a provincial sales tax (PST) that is combined with GST into a blended harmonised sales tax (HST); certain provinces, including Québec, maintain their own PST. These taxes apply to the transfer of certain tangible assets, such as leased automobiles and equipment. Servicing fees are also subject to GST or HST. Generally, no GST or HST is applicable to receivables that are sold on a fully serviced basis, whereby the servicing component is an ancillary part of the receivables and no separate servicing fee is charged. Therefore, it is most common in Canada not to specify a separate servicing fee but instead to sell receivables on a fully serviced basis. However, if a replacement servicer is appointed, the replacement servicing fees would be subject to GST or HST. The GST rate is 5%. The HST rate depends upon the applicable province. In Ontario it is 13% and in Québec there is a combined GST and PST rate of 13.92%.

9.5 Purchaser Liability. If the seller is required to pay value added tax, stamp duty or other taxes upon the sale of receivables (or on the sale of goods or services that give rise to the receivables) and the seller does not pay, then will the taxing authority be able to make claims for the unpaid tax against the purchaser or against the sold receivables or collections?

If the seller fails to collect and remit GST, PST or HST, the applicable tax authority may seek to recover such taxes from the purchaser.

9.6 Doing Business. Assuming that the purchaser conducts no other business in Canada, would the purchaser's purchase of the receivables, its appointment of the seller as its servicer and collection agent, or its enforcement of the receivables against the obligors, make it liable to tax in Canada?

The answer would depend upon the specific facts of each particular situation. It is possible that the appointment of the seller as servicer and collection agent or the enforcement of the receivables against the obligors could cause a non-Canadian purchaser to be considered to carry on business in Canada and to be liable to tax in Canada on that basis. As discussed above, due to the concern with a non-Canadian purchaser being considered to carry on business in Canada through the servicing of Canadian receivables, it is more common for an intermediate Canadian special purpose entity to be established to purchase the Canadian receivables and for that special purpose entity to then issue an interest bearing note to a non-Canadian investor.



Michael K. Feldman

Torys LLP
79 Wellington Street West, Suite 3000
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1N2
Canada

Tel: +1 416 865 7513
Fax: +1 416 865 7380
Email: mfeldman@torys.com
URL: www.torys.com

Michael Feldman's practice focuses on corporate and commercial law, with an emphasis on structured asset-backed financing, securitisation, infrastructure finance, capital markets, secured lending, aircraft financing and derivatives. Michael's high-profile representations have led to his international recognition for his expertise. He recently advised TD Bank in its C\$6.3 billion acquisition of Chrysler Financial and represented Business Development Bank of Canada (BDC) in the design and implementation of the C\$12 billion Canadian Secured Credit Facility to invest in term asset-backed securities backed by auto and equipment loans and leases. He is listed as a leading securitisation lawyer in various international publications, including Chambers & Partners' *Chambers Global*, Lexpert/American Lawyer Media's *Guide to the Leading 500 Lawyers in Canada*, Woodward White's *Best Lawyers* and Legal Media Group/Euromoney's *IFLR1000 Guide to the World's Leading Financial Law Firms*.



Jim Hong

Torys LLP
79 Wellington Street West, Suite 3000
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1N2
Canada

Tel: +1 416 865 7369
Fax: +1 416 865 7380
Email: jhong@torys.com
URL: www.torys.com

Jim Hong practises corporate, commercial and securities law, with a focus on public and private managed products, structured asset-backed financings, securitisations and derivatives. Before joining Torys, Jim practised at Clifford Chance in London, U.K. in the international securitisation group, where he advised on debt refinancing, RMBS and CDO transactions. Jim's recent work with Ally Credit Canada (formerly GMAC Canada) and in a variety of high-profile transactions has secured him recognition in Chambers & Partners' *Chambers Global* and in Woodward White's *Best Lawyers in Canada*.

TORYS
LLP

Torys is a highly respected international business law firm with offices in Toronto, New York and Calgary. The firm offers seamless cross-border services to clients on both sides of the U.S.-Canada border and globally.

Members of Torys' Structured Finance and Securitization Practice Group represent issuers and underwriters on public offerings of asset-backed securities, and are familiar with the regulatory requirements of asset-backed securities offerings in Canada. It acts on private placements of term notes, and in the establishment of multi-seller and single-seller commercial paper securitisation conduits. It also advises liquidity lenders, credit enhancers and investors.

Torys is a recognised leader and a frequently recommended firm in the area of securitisation law. The securitisation practitioners have worked with clients to develop a number of new securitisation structures and products. Torys played a leading role in the 2008-2009 restructuring of Canada's third-party asset-backed commercial paper market.

The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Securitisation 2011

Other titles in the ICLG series include:

- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Commodities and Trade Law
- Competition Litigation
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Dominance
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Competition Law
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Gas Regulation
- International Arbitration
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Patents
- PFI / PPP Projects
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Product Liability
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Telecommunication Laws and Regulations

To order a copy of a publication, please contact:

Global Legal Group
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL
United Kingdom
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: sales@glgroup.co.uk